UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/781,323	02/18/2004	Paul Mantz	AGXG-7	9445
22827 DORITY & MA	7590 06/23/200 ANNING, P.A.	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE	BOX 1449	CHIN, PAUL T		
GREENVILLE, SC 29602-1449			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3652	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
10/781,323	MANTZ, PAUL
	Art Unit
Saúl J. Rodríguez	3652

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Rev	riew filed 5/29/09.
 Improper Request – The Request is improper ar reason(s): 	nd a conference will not be held for the following
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concur ☐ The request does not include reasons why a re ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre ☐ Other: 	view is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Not	om the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from tice of Appeal has been received.
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interfined. The application remains under appeal because the is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decrunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upof the notice of appeal, as applicable.	ere is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal sision, or the balance of the two-month time period ver is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the
The panel has determined the status of the clack Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	aim(s) is as follows:
3. ☒ Allowable application – A conference has been Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits ren applicant at this time.	
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been haction will be mailed. No further action is required by a	
All participants:	
(1) <u>Saúl J. Rodríguez /SJR/</u> .	(3) <u>Darnell Jayne /DJ/</u> .
(2) Paul Chin /PC/.	(4)